WRITTEN RESPONSE SCORING April 22-26, 2024 RFX #2334-834 Sign Language Interpreter Referral Agency

Vendor Name: Universal Language Service

Evaluator Number: WE1

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score based on the criteria only.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- We would prefer that you leave a comment for each question scored, briefly explaining why you assigned that particular score.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team, but each evaluator should score independently. We do not use consensus scoring.
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something that was not asked for in the Solicitation.

Scoring of Proposals

The following available points will be assigned to the proposal for evaluation purposes:

Section 5 Non-Cost Submittal 200 points

If you have questions, please direct them to Caleb Clark, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6076. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

| Score | Description | Discussion |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| 90-100% of available points | Exceptional | Clearly superior to that which is average. |
| 70-80% | Above Average | Better than that which is average. |
| 50-60% | Average | Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response. |
| 30-40% | Below Average | Substandard to that which is average. |
| 10-20% | Failing | Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average. |
| 0% | No Experience | Response shows no experience in this skill or capability. |

| | | Evaluator Scoresheet for RFQQ #2334-834 | | |
|---|---|---|--------------|-------|
| Y | ou will be evaluat | ing one part of the bidder's submission: Section 5. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to s documents, they will be included in an attached document. | ubmit additi | onal |
| | | BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (200 Points) | MAX | SCORE |
| Δ | Contract. Ple | be your experience, skills, and qualifications that demonstrate your ability to satisfy this solicitation's ase include any relevant experience coordinating ASL interpreters that makes your business uniquely the Contract. | POINTS | |
| | COMMENT: | Well put complete answer | 15 | 15 |
| B | are a successf (NOTE : The Bi lower numbe | e the number of ASL interpreters registered with ODHH who you currently subcontract with; and, if you ful Bidder, will use to provide services under the Contract. dder with the highest number of interpreters will receive the maximum allowable points. Bidders with a r of interpreters will receive proportionately fewer points based on the highest number as follows: lower er number * available points = total points awarded). | 20 | |
| | COMMENT: | Evaluator – please do not score this question. Solicitation Coordinator will complete after evaluations are finished. | | |
| С | | be how you determine interpreters' skills for various kinds of appointments, so the interpreters meet the nunication style to promote effective communication in critical situations. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Nice complete answer | 30 | 30 |
| C | scheduling an | I be how you will consistently acquire updated interpreter schedules. Include and describe in detail your d booking process or system that enables you to respond to and book interpretation services, and how tain uninterrupted service. | 30 | 30 |

| | COMMENT: | Full answer | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|----|----|
| E | | be your process for booking appointments in which there are more than one Deaf, DeafBlind, hard of deafened, or Deaf Disabled person. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Good answer without getting into the details of DeafBlind and other differences | 20 | 20 |
| F | Please descri communicati | be how you will handle a situation where the interpreter(s) arrive and are unable to provide effective | | |
| | COMMENT: | Complete full answer | 20 | 20 |
| G | Please describ unknown. | be your method for ensuring an Interpreters safety during unscheduled home visits where the client is | | |
| | COMMENT: | Client and interpreter safety are apparent. | 15 | 15 |
| A | request receiv | gory below, please state the time needed by your firm to confirm receipt of a sign language interpreter ved during non-standard business hours (Monday-Thursday; 5:00pm – 8:00am Pacific Time/Friday nday 8:00am Pacific Time, including holidays). | | |
| | COMMENT: | Nice response times. | 15 | 15 |

| C | received dur | egory below, please state the time needed by your firm to accept or deny an interpreter request ing non-standard business hours (Monday-Thursday; 5:00pm – 8:00am Pacific Time/Friday 5:00pm – Dam Pacific Time, including holidays). | | |
|---|--------------|---|----|----|
| | COMMENT: | Nice | 15 | 15 |
| | COMMENT: | Click here to enter text. | | |

WRITTEN RESPONSE SCORING April 22-26, 2024 RFX #2334-834 Sign Language Interpreter Referral Agency

Vendor Name: ULS

Evaluator Number: WE2

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score based on the criteria only.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- We would prefer that you leave a comment for each question scored, briefly explaining why you assigned that particular score.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team, but each evaluator should score independently. We do not use consensus scoring.
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something that was not asked for in the Solicitation.

Scoring of Proposals

The following available points will be assigned to the proposal for evaluation purposes:

Section 5 Non-Cost Submittal 200 points

If you have questions, please direct them to Caleb Clark, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6076. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

| Score | Description | Discussion |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| 90-100% of available points | Exceptional | Clearly superior to that which is average. |
| 70-80% | Above Average | Better than that which is average. |
| 50-60% | Average | Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response. |
| 30-40% | Below Average | Substandard to that which is average. |
| 10-20% | Failing | Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average. |
| 0% | No Experience | Response shows no experience in this skill or capability. |

| | | Evaluator Scoresheet for RFQQ #2334-834 | | |
|----|--|--|--------------|------|
| Yo | ou will be evaluat | ing one part of the bidder's submission: Section 5. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to s documents, they will be included in an attached document. | ubmit additi | onal |
| | | BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (200 Points) | MAX | |
| | | | POINTS | SCOR |
| A | | be your experience, skills, and qualifications that demonstrate your ability to satisfy this solicitation's ase include any relevant experience coordinating ASL interpreters that makes your business uniquely the Contract. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Response is detailed and satisfactory. | 15 | 15 |
| В | are a successf (NOTE : The Bi lower number | e the number of ASL interpreters registered with ODHH who you currently subcontract with; and, if you ul Bidder, will use to provide services under the Contract. dder with the highest number of interpreters will receive the maximum allowable points. Bidders with a r of interpreters will receive proportionately fewer points based on the highest number as follows: lower er number * available points = total points awarded). | 20 | |
| | COMMENT: | Evaluator – please do not score this question. Solicitation Coordinator will complete after evaluations are finished. | | |
| С | | be how you determine interpreters' skills for various kinds of appointments, so the interpreters meet the unication style to promote effective communication in critical situations. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Response is detailed and satisfactory. However, I'd like to see a backup plan if the sign language coordinator is out on leave. What happens with requests then? | 30 | 29 |
| D | scheduling an | be how you will consistently acquire updated interpreter schedules. Include and describe in detail your d booking process or system that enables you to respond to and book interpretation services, and how cain uninterrupted service. | 30 | 30 |

| | COMMENT: | Response is detailed and satisfactory. | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|----|----|
| E | | be your process for booking appointments in which there are more than one Deaf, DeafBlind, hard of deafened, or Deaf Disabled person. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Response is satisfactory. | 20 | 20 |
| F | Please descri communicati | be how you will handle a situation where the interpreter(s) arrive and are unable to provide effective ion access. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Response is satisfactory. | 20 | 20 |
| G | Please descrit unknown. | be your method for ensuring an Interpreters safety during unscheduled home visits where the client is | | |
| | COMMENT: | Response is satisfactory with a clear procedure. | 15 | 15 |
| A | request receiv | gory below, please state the time needed by your firm to confirm receipt of a sign language interpreter ved during non-standard business hours (Monday-Thursday; 5:00pm – 8:00am Pacific Time/Friday nday 8:00am Pacific Time, including holidays). | | |
| | COMMENT: | Response is satisfactory. | 15 | 15 |

| | ing non-standard business hours (Monday-Thursday; 5:00pm – 8:00am Pacific Time/Friday 5:00pm –)am Pacific Time, including holidays). | | |
|----------|--|----|----|
| COMMENT: | Response is satisfactory. | 15 | 15 |
| COMMENT: | Click here to enter text. | _ | |

WRITTEN RESPONSE SCORING April 22-26, 2024 RFX #2334-834 Sign Language Interpreter Referral Agency

Vendor Name: Universal Language

Evaluator Number: WE3

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score based on the criteria only.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- We would prefer that you leave a comment for each question scored, briefly explaining why you assigned that particular score.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team, but each evaluator should score independently. We do not use consensus scoring.
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something that was not asked for in the Solicitation.

Scoring of Proposals

The following available points will be assigned to the proposal for evaluation purposes:

Section 5 Non-Cost Submittal 200 points

If you have questions, please direct them to Caleb Clark, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6076. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

| Score | Description | Discussion |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| 90-100% of available points | Exceptional | Clearly superior to that which is average. |
| 70-80% | Above Average | Better than that which is average. |
| 50-60% | Average | Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response. |
| 30-40% | Below Average | Substandard to that which is average. |
| 10-20% | Failing | Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average. |
| 0% | No Experience | Response shows no experience in this skill or capability. |

| | | Evaluator Scoresheet for RFQQ #2334-834 | | |
|----|--|---|---------------|-------|
| Yo | u will be evaluat | ing one part of the bidder's submission: Section 5. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to s documents, they will be included in an attached document. | ubmit additi | ional |
| | | BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (200 Points) | MAX POINTS | SCORE |
| A | | be your experience, skills, and qualifications that demonstrate your ability to satisfy this solicitation's ase include any relevant experience coordinating ASL interpreters that makes your business uniquely the Contract. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Click here to enter text. | 15 | 12 |
| В | are a successf (NOTE : The Bi lower number | e the number of ASL interpreters registered with ODHH who you currently subcontract with; and, if you ful Bidder, will use to provide services under the Contract. dder with the highest number of interpreters will receive the maximum allowable points. Bidders with a r of interpreters will receive proportionately fewer points based on the highest number as follows: lower er number * available points = total points awarded). | 20 | |
| | COMMENT: | Evaluator – please do not score this question. Solicitation Coordinator will complete after evaluations are finished. | | |
| С | | be how you determine interpreters' skills for various kinds of appointments, so the interpreters meet the Sunication style to promote effective communication in critical situations. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Very detailed response described how coordinator considers communication preference, appointment type, use of scheduling platform, interpreter expertise and relation to client needs, as well as certification interpreters hold to ensure proper matching | 30 | 26 |
| D | scheduling an | be how you will consistently acquire updated interpreter schedules. Include and describe in detail your d booking process or system that enables you to respond to and book interpretation services, and how tain uninterrupted service. | 30 | 26 |

| | COMMENT: | Click here to enter text. | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|----|----|
| E | | be your process for booking appointments in which there are more than one Deaf, DeafBlind, hard of deafened, or Deaf Disabled person. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Describe in detail importance of communication preference, language proficiency, accessibility, distribute workload, complexity of communication needs, duration, and inclusivity. | 20 | 18 |
| F | Please descri communicati | be how you will handle a situation where the interpreter(s) arrive and are unable to provide effective ion access. | | |
| | COMMENT: | Detailed the process to determine why the terp cannot provide communication and access and addressed how to avoid cont issue. | 20 | 18 |
| G | Please descrit unknown. | be your method for ensuring an Interpreters safety during unscheduled home visits where the client is | | |
| | COMMENT: | Click here to enter text. | 15 | 12 |
| A | request receiv | gory below, please state the time needed by your firm to confirm receipt of a sign language interpreter ved during non-standard business hours (Monday-Thursday; 5:00pm – 8:00am Pacific Time/Friday nday 8:00am Pacific Time, including holidays). | | |
| | COMMENT: | Click here to enter text. | 15 | 12 |

| C | received dur | egory below, please state the time needed by your firm to accept or deny an interpreter request ing non-standard business hours (Monday-Thursday; 5:00pm – 8:00am Pacific Time/Friday 5:00pm – Dam Pacific Time, including holidays). | | |
|---|--------------|---|----|----|
| | COMMENT: | Click here to enter text. | 15 | 12 |
| | COMMENT: | Click here to enter text. | | |