## PROPOSED RULE MAKING



matters: N/A

CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON **FILED** 

**CODE REVISER USE ONLY** 

DATE: June 13, 2024

TIME: 5:19 PM

WSR 24-13-064

Agency: DSHS, Economic Services Administration, Division of Child Support							
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR							
□ Continuance of WSR							
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or							
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or							
⊠ Proposal is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(4).							
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) The department is amending WAC 388-14A-3375 to remove a reference to public assistance standards as a basis for the calculation of a credit for payments made for shelter care by a paying parent. The public assistance standards referenced in WAC 388-14A-3375 were established by the Community Services Division (CSD) in WAC 388-478-0010, which was repealed in 2020.							
Hearing location(s):							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:			
July 25, 2024	10:00 a.m.	Virtually via Teams or Ca	ll in	Hearings are held virtually, see the DSHS website at <a href="https://www.dshs.wa.gov/sesa/rpau/proposed-rules-and-public-hearings">https://www.dshs.wa.gov/sesa/rpau/proposed-rules-and-public-hearings</a> for the most current information.			
Date of intended adop	otion: [Not e	earlier than 7/26/2024]	(Note:	This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date)			
Submit written comm	ents to:		Assistance for persons with disabilities:				
Name DSHS Rules Co	ordinator		Contact Shelley Tencza, DSHS Rules Consultant				
Address PO Box 4585	0, Olympia,	WA 98504	Phone 360-664-6036				
Email DSHSRPAURulesCoordinator@dshs.wa.gov			Fax 360-664-6185				
Fax 360-664-6185				TTY 711 Relay Service			
Other			Email Shelley.Tencza@dshs.wa.gov				
Beginning (date and time) noon on June 20, 2024			Other				
By (date and time) 5	5:00 p.m. on	July 25, 2024	By (date) 5:00 p.m. on July 11, 2024				
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Under WAC 388-14A-3375, DCS is authorized to provide several different types of credits towards a noncustodial parent's support obligations. One of these is a credit for payments made for shelter care before services of the administrative support establishment notice. The calculation of the credit is based on one-half of the actual shelter payment or on public assistance standards. The public assistance standards referenced in WAC 388-14A-3375 were established by CSD in WAC 388-478-0010 and have since been repealed. Public assistance standards can no longer be a basis for the credit. Removal of the reference is appropriate. DCS also proposes other technical edits in line with the office of the code reviser's drafting guidelines.							
Reasons supporting proposal: This rulemaking ensures DCS and CSD WAC chapters and policies align and provide							
correct and current information to the general public.							
<b>Statutory authority for adoption:</b> RCW 26.23.110, 34.05.220, 74.08.090, 74.20A.055, and 74.20A.056							
Statute being implemented: RCW 74.20A.055 and 74.20A.056							
Is rule necessary because of a:							
Federal Law?				☐ Yes ☒ No			
Federal Court Decision?				□ Yes ⊠ No			
State Court Deci If yes, CITATION:		☐ Yes ⊠ No					
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal							

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Department of Social and Health Services, Economic Services Administration, Division of Child Support  Type of proponent: □ Private. □ Public. ☒ Governmental.							
Name of agency personnel responsible for:							
	Name	Office Location	Phone				
Drafting Rules Coordinator	Monica Turnbaugh, DCS	DCS HQ, PO Box 9162, Olympia WA 98507-91	62 360-664-5339				
Implementation Rules Coordinator	Monica Turnbaugh, DCS	DCS HQ, PO Box 9162, Olympia WA 98507-91	62 360-664-5339				
Enforcement Rules Coordinator	Monica Turnbaugh, DCS	DCS HQ, PO Box 9162, Olympia WA 98507-91	62 360-664-5339				
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, insert statement here:							
The public may on Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other	obtain a copy of the school dist	rict fiscal impact statement by contacting:					
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?  □ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:  Name  Address  Phone  Fax  TTY  Email  Other  □ No: Please explain: Although this rule may meet the definition of a significant legislative rule under RCW 34.05.328, the requirement for a cost-benefit analysis does not apply because it is a DSHS rule relating only to liability for care of dependents [RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(vii)]							
Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement  Note: The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.							
(1) Identification o		mon and hoolitando (Ortin) provides support in the	mploting this part.				
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be exempt</b> from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see <a href="https://chapter.19.85.RCW">chapter 19.85 RCW</a> ). For additional information on exemptions, consult the <a href="https://exemption.guide.published.by.orial">exemption.guide.published.by.orial</a> . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):							
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted. Citation and description:							
<ul> <li>□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.</li> <li>□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.</li> </ul>							

$\boxtimes$	This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under <u>R</u> (	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
		(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
		(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
	$\boxtimes$	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
		(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
				requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
$\boxtimes$	This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under <u>R</u> (	CW 19.85.025(4). (Does not affect small businesses).			
$\boxtimes$	☑ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(vii).						
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: This proposal does not affect small businesses. This rule is exempt under RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(vii) – Rules of the department of social and health services concerning liability for care of dependents.							
		f exemptions: Check one.					
	<ul> <li>         ⊠ The rule proposal: Is fully exempt. (Skip section 3.) Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.     </li> <li>         □ The rule proposal: Is partially exempt. (Complete section 3.) The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule     </li> </ul>						
		t less than the entire rule proposal. Provide detai					
	•	proposal: Is not exempt. (Complete section 3.) N	,	,			
(3)	Small bu	isiness economic impact statement: Complete	e this sec	ction if any portion is not exempt.			
	If any portion of the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?						
	☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs.						
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:							
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:							
	Na	ime					
	Ad	dress					
	Phone						
	Fax						
	TTY						
		nail					
		her	0:				
Da	te: June 1	11, 2024	Signatu	ire:			
Name: Katherine I. Vasquez							
Tit	le: DSHS	Rules Coordinator	Rate	time I. Vygez			

- WAC 388-14A-3375 What kinds of credits does the division of child support give when establishing or enforcing an administrative support order? (1) After the noncustodial parent (NCP) has been advised of the requirement to make payments to the Washington state support registry (WSSR) by service of a support establishment notice, or by entry of a support order requiring payments to WSSR, the NCP may obtain credit against the support obligation only:
- (a) By cash, check, electronic funds transfer, or money order payments through WSSR or payment of health insurance premiums; or
  - (b) As provided under subsections (3) and (6) of this section.
- (2) The division of child support (DCS) allows credit against a NCP's support debt for family needs provided directly to a custodial parent (CP), a child, or provided through a vendor or third party only when the:
  - (a) Items are provided before service of the notice on the NCP;
- (b) NCP proves the items provided were intended to satisfy the NCP's support obligation; and
- (c) Items are food, clothing, shelter, or medical attendance directly related to the care, support, and maintenance of a child.
- (3) After service of the notice, an NCP may obtain credit against the parent's current support obligation only when the NCP proves that the payments were made and:
  - (a) DCS determines there((÷
  - (i) Is)) is no prejudice to:
  - $((\frac{A}{A}))$  (i) The CP, a child, or other person; or
- $((\frac{B}{B}))$  (ii) An agency entitled to receive the support payments  $((\cdot))$  ; and
- (( $\frac{(ii) \ Are \ special}{)}$ ) <u>(b) Special</u> circumstances of an equitable nature (( $\frac{justifying}{)}$ ) <u>justify</u> credit for <u>the parent's</u> payments(( $\frac{1}{2}$ )) <u>;</u> or
- ((<del>(b)</del>)) <u>(c)</u> A court of competent jurisdiction determines credit should be granted after a hearing where all interested parties were given an opportunity to be heard.
- (4) DCS does not allow credit for shelter payments made before service of the notice in an amount more than ((the greater of the:
- $\hspace{0.1cm}$  (a) Shelter allocation in the public assistance standards for the period when payments were made; or
  - (b) One-half)) one-half of the actual shelter payment.
- (5) DCS does not allow credit for shelter payments made after service of the notice.
- (6) DCS applies credits for dependent benefits allowed under RCW 26.18.190 as required by WAC 388-14A-4200.